Integrating the ACT-R Framework with Collaborative Filtering for **Explainable Sequential Music Recommendation**

Marta Moscati¹, Christian Wallmann², Markus Reiter-Haas³, Dominik Kowald^{3,4}, Elisabeth Lex³, Markus Schedl^{1,5}

¹Institute of Computational Perception, Johannes Kepler University Linz (Austria) ²Welser Profile Gresten (Austria)

³Graz University of Technology (Austria)

⁴Know-Center GmbH Graz (Austria)

⁵Human-centered AI Group, AI Lab, Linz Institute of Technology (Austria)

The ACT-R Cognitive Architecture for Music Relistening

The Adaptive Control of Thought-Rational cognitive architecture (ACT-R) effectively models music relistening by modelling human memory. A track is assigned a probability of being relistened based on several *scores*:

Base-Level Learning

$$B_i = \sum_{j=1}^{d} (t_{ref} - t_{ij})^{-d}$$

favors tracks listened to frequently and recently.

Valuation

$$V_{i}^{n} = V_{i}^{n-1} + \alpha \left(R_{i}^{n} - V_{i}^{n-1} \right)$$

favors tracks listened to
entirely.



Spreading

 $S_i =$

P(i) favors tracks listened to in sessions containing the last track.

Partial Matching

 $P_i = sim(i, k)$

favors tracks similar to the last track.

Since each score is designed to model an aspect of memory, the overall ACT-R score of a track is intrinsically explainable. However, ACT-R assigns positive scores only to tracks that the user already listened to. Therefore, it cannot recommend novel tracks.

Combining ACT-R with Collaborative Filtering

We propose four algorithms that integrate collaborative filtering with ACT-R to design sequential music recommender systems that Leverage past collective behaviors for novel recommendations,

Leverage ACT-R for explainable recommendations.

This research was funded in whole, or in part, by the Austrian Science, and Research, through grant LIT-2020-9-SEE-113. Memory icon created by Good Ware - Flaticon.

marta.moscati@jku.at https://mmosc.github.io/

$P(i \in C_k)$

Rolling Session Completion Model Performance We conduct experiments on a one-month extract of the LFM-2b dataset. The performance of the algorithms is evaluated on the dation. • For a session of length N, we consider all possible initial segment • The listening events of a 7-days window and of the session's • We recommend tracks for the remainder of the session. Ratatat Justice Known ... listening The White Stripes events Explainability Ratatat Vance Joy

task of rolling session completion:

- lengths, l = 1, ..., N 1.
- initial segment are assumed to be known.

7-days Window	15 May 10:05	Wildcat	
	15 May 10:08	D.A.N.C.E	
	19 May 11:23	Seven Nation Army	
Target session	20 May 10:48	Wildcat	
	20 May 10:51	Riptide	
	20 May 10:53	They Might Be Giants	
	20 May 10:55	Phone Card	

Evaluation

The quality of recommendations is evaluated in terms of accuracy and beyond-accuracy metrics: **Accuracy** is measured in terms of F_1 score. **Diversity** is measured as Shannon entropy of the distribution of recommended tracks over genres.

Novelty is measured as the fraction of recommended tracks that have not been listened to by the target user. **P-Novelty** measures the precision of novel recommendations.

Alan Silvestri

Alexandre Desplat



For instance, the relative importance of each component of the recommendation score varies depending on the genre of the track recommended. Since ACT-R is modeled on listening behavior, this is an indication that listening behaviors depend on genre.

The proposed algorithms (in blue) achieve the best performance in terms of beyond-accuracy metrics, although not outperforming the accuracy of established algorithms for sequential recommen-

	F_1	Diversity	Novelty	P-Novelty
GRU4Rec	0.142	0.929	0.716	0.126
Temporal UserkNN	0.122	0.786	0.631	0.146
Item BPR	0.114	0.658	0.846	0.130
Weighted MultVAE	0.111	0.941	0.554	0.136
ACT-R + BPR	0.104	0.923	0.056	0.239
Social ACT-R	0.101	0.945	0.056	0.155
MostRecent	0.094	0.891	0.000	0.000
ACT-R	0.093	0.889	0.000	0.000



for expl cover pat	ainable terns in	recor recor	nmenda nmenda	tions, tions.
rent Vibes	Evergreen	Fro	om Similar User	ſS
6%		25%	11%	
51%		21%	11%	
25%		34%	9%	
	22	07	170/	
	22	70	1/%	

